



POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER FOR LANCASHIRE

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT - REGISTER of REQUESTS

Request Number	Date Received	Request Received Via		Subject of Request	Action Taken	In Limit
2018/46	28.06.18	Email		<p>Could you please provide me with Crime Detection Rates for each individual Borough of Lancashire for each individual year in the period 2012 to 2018.</p> <p>Could you please provide this data in the form of an Excel spreadsheet?</p> <p>Please to acknowledge receipt by return of email?</p>	<p>Response sent by email on 29.06.18 - Transferred to Constab on 29.06.18</p>	Yes
2018/45	28.06.18	Email		<p>Could you please provide me with tube Total Value of the Police Precept Collected from each individual Borough in Lancashire for individual years between 2012 to 2018.</p> <p>Could the information please be provided in the form of an Excel spreadsheet?</p> <p>Please to acknowledge receipt by return of email?</p>	<p>Response sent by email on 04.07.18</p>	Yes
2018/44	28.06.18	Email		<p>Can you please provide me with figures for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The number of Police Officers b. The number of PCSOs c. The number of Police Sergeants d. The number of Police Inspectors <p>in each individual Borough of Lancashire by Year for the individual Years 2012 to 2018.</p> <p>Can this information please be provided in the form of an excel spreadsheet?</p>	<p>Response sent by email on 29.06.18 - Transferred to Constab on 29.06.18</p>	Yes

2018/43	22.06.18	Email		<p>I am writing to request information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. In order to assist you with this request. I am outlining my query as specifically as possible.</p> <p>I would like to know:</p> <p>What is the budget for commissioning services for VAWG in your local area? Inc. staff costs, legal costs, market engagement events etc.</p> <p>I would be interested in any information held by your organisation regarding my request. I understand that I do not have to specify particular files or documents and that is it the department's responsibility to provide information I require. If you need any further clarification, please contact me by email.</p> <p>I would like to receive the information in word or excel format.</p> <p>If my request is denied in whole or in part. I ask that you justify all deletions by reference to specify exemptions of the act. I will also expect you to release all non-exempt material. I reserve the right to appeal your decision to withhold any information or to charge excessive fees.</p> <p>I would be grateful if you could confirm in writing that you have received this request. I look forward to your response within 20 working days, as outlined by the statute.</p>	Response sent by email on 11.07.18	Yes
2018/42	20.06.18	WDTK		<p>I should be grateful if you would provide the answers to the following question in three parts, under the Freedom of Information legislation and for the transparency of the general public:</p> <p>1(a): Under what or whose authority, was given for Lancashire County Council to remove the long-standing protest signage and banners outside Cuadrilla's Preston New Road site, when it had already been reported on the 28th March 2018 that these items had previously been risk-assessed by Lancashire County Council and deemed not to pose a threat? (Reference article from the Blackpool Gazette, 28/03/18 https://www.blackpoolgazette.co.uk/news/business/anti-fracking-fence-art-set-to-stay-at-fylde-drill-site-1-9086400).</p> <p>Cuadrilla are also quoted as saying: "We have not requested for the protester material to be removed from the fencing outside our Preston New Road site."</p>	Response uploaded to WDTK on 12.07.18	Yes

			<p>This quote is in direct contradiction to an official source working at the site who stated that Cuadrilla had indeed made the complaint.</p> <p>Today (20th June 2018), an article has been published with the following quote:</p> <p>‘A spokesman for Lancashire County Council said: “We have carried out a joint exercise with Fylde Borough Council, supported by Lancashire Police, to remove unauthorised signs and other material over a significant length of Preston New Road.</p> <p>“We’re grateful to those protesters who helped to clean up this area by filling bags to be taken away. We have a responsibility to maintain highway safety, and were concerned that this material presented a distraction to motorists, as well as obscuring the lines of sight.”</p> <p>A spokesman for Fylde Council said:</p> <p>"Fylde council has a responsibility to keep all highways clean from litter and debris, over the last few weeks the council has received numerous complaints about littering on Preston New Road around the shale gas exploration site, which on a major road is not only a cleanliness issue but also a safety matter.</p> <p>(Reference article from the Blackpool Gazette, 20/06/18: https://www.blackpoolgazette.co.uk/news/business/anger-after-anti-fracking-banners-are-removed-near-fylde-drill-site-1-9215130)</p> <p>1(b): Following on from this question and to ensure transparency, please could you confirm if your role of 'evidence gathering' is being passed to Cuadrilla, Fylde Borough Council or Lancashire County Council for action against campaigners?</p> <p>1(c) Please could you also provide all written or electronic communications from the 01st March 2018 until 19th June 2018, between any Lancashire County Council staff member, Fylde Borough Council staff member and Cuadrilla and/or their agents and yourselves with regards to any protest signage outside of their Preston New Road Site or along the A583 stretch of road.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	--

2018/41	20.06.18	WDTK		Please provide foundation evidence for the schedule one status of cannabis as currently legislated for. I also require foundation evidence for proof of control.	Response uploaded to WDTK on 26.06.18	Yes
2018/40	16.06.18	WDTK		<p>The recent news has highlighted the incident at the Thwaites Brewery in Blackburn where a group of people occupied the site and caused a large amount of damage (weekend of 26th May 2018).</p> <p>The paper reports of this sought to make a spectacle of the concept that "nobody was arrested" and that the perpetrators are going to "get away scot free".</p> <p>I would like some clarification as to the details of the incident and the nature in which it was policed:</p> <p>1) Understandably, arresting a large group of people may have proved infeasible, but why? Was this due to a lack of police forces or a reduction in funding?</p> <p>2) Why were reinforcements not bought in from neighboring counties if local resources were not available to stop the damage?</p> <p>3) The papers alleged that vehicles were seen leaving the site with stolen copper, why were these vehicles not stopped and searched away from the site where only a few individuals would have to be dealt with at a time?</p>	Response uploaded to WDTK on 26.06.18	Yes
2018/39	10.06.18	Email		<p>Please send to me any record of information that relates to the formulation and execution of U.S. Foreign Policy and the administration and operations of the U.S. Department of State and U.S. Department of Defence which alleges the U.S. Department of State and U.S. Department of Defence committed electoral fraud at the June 23rd 2016, United Kingdom European Union membership referendum and/or the June 8th 2017, United Kingdom general election.</p> <p>If your response fails to reach the email address that I have provided then please send your response by post.</p>	Response sent by email on 14.06.2018 – Info not held	Yes
2018/38	03.06.18	WDTK		<p>Between the 10th May and the 25th May, the police broke into my home with no warrant and stole files which relate to my family court proceedings.</p> <p>these files contain evidence of the organized and pervasive use of racial hatred and corruption and discrimination that I faced within the family court, which</p>	Response uploaded to WDTK on 08.06.2018	Yes

				<p>was reported to the police but no action was taken.</p> <p>Now that the police have violated my human rights I wish to request</p> <p>Under the freedom of information act, I request the name of the judge who issued the order for my home to be broken into?</p>		
2018/37	22.05.18	WDTK		<p>Following the revelation by a serving officer of Lancashire Constabulary that there are 'no go' areas of Preston for LC and that LC have to request permission from Muslim leaders prior to policing these areas. (see link below) Would you please provide me with the following..</p> <p>Link: https://youtu.be/4jK54b02YmE</p> <p>1: The names of the areas involved. 2: The street names within these areas. 3: The reason(s) LC allow this to happen. 4: The laws in relation to policing (no go) areas.</p>	Response uploaded to WDTK on 22.05.2018	Yes
2018/36	20.05.18	Email		<p>Please send to me any record of evidence which alleges the Conservative Party won an outright majority of MPs at the June 8th 2017 United Kingdom general election.</p>	Response sent by email on 25.05.2018 – Info not held	Yes
2018/35	16.05.18	Website		<p>Could you tell me how many staff the Commissioner employs. How much these staff cost, and does this money come from the Lancashire Police budget</p>	Response sent by email on 14.06.2018	Yes
2018/34	14.05.18	WDTK		<p>Please can you confirm the following:</p> <p>1) whether you have organised (or participated in any multi-agency) campaigns for raising awareness of domestic abuse / violence against women and children and how much was spent in each of the following years:</p> <p>2015-16</p> <p>2016-17</p>	Response uploaded to WDTK on 08.06.2018	Yes

				2017-18 2) Where you have participated in a multi-agency domestic abuse awareness campaign, please confirm who the partner agencies were.		
2018/33	03.05.18	Email		<p>Please send to me any record of information that shows the United States of America Department of Defense committed electoral fraud at any of the following elections and referenda:</p> <p>June 23rd 2016 United Kingdom European Union membership referendum.</p> <p>June 8th 2017 United Kingdom general election.</p> <p>November 8th 2016 United States of America congressional and presidential election.</p> <p>April 23rd 2017-May 7th 2017 French presidential election.</p> <p>October 15th 2017 Austrian legislative election.</p> <p>May 29th 2017 Irish Fine Gael leadership election.</p> <p>March 4th 2018 Italian general election.</p> <p>Also, please send to me any record of information that shows the United States of America Department of Defense plans to commit electoral fraud at the May 3rd 2018 United Kingdom local elections.</p>	Response sent by email on 10.05.2018 – Info not held	Yes
2018/32	29.04.18	Email		Please send to me any record of evidence that shows electoral fraud will be committed at the May 3rd 2018, United Kingdom local elections.	Response sent by email on 10.05.2018 – Info not held	Yes
2018/31	23.04.18	Email		<p>I would like to request under the freedom of information act as much information as possible within the cost limit on all of the reports/complaints/arrests made against SIA license holders, filed since January 2014 till the present day.</p> <p>I understand some information may be redacted but I would like as much information as possible within the cost limit and for it to be in a digital</p>	Response sent by email on 27.04.2018 – transferred to Constab on 27.04.2018	Yes

				format to again limit the cost of the request.		
2018/30	23.04.18	WDTK		<p>I have discovered that LC are listed by Dunn and Bradstreet as a private company.</p> <p>See Below</p> <p>LANCASHIRE CONSTABULARY</p> <p>Preston Divisional Headquarters, Lancaster Road North, Preston, Lancashire.</p> <p>Company No: 3612460</p> <p>Company Age</p> <p>10 Years</p> <p>Company Status</p> <p>ACTIVE</p> <p>Company Type</p> <p>Non-limited Business</p> <p>Business Activity</p> <p>Public Order And Safety Activities</p> <p>Further to this I would like to request some information regarding the status of Lancashire Constabulary</p> <p>Under the FOI Act please provide me with the information requested</p>	Response uploaded to WDTK on 25.04.2018 – Info not held	Yes

				<p>below.</p> <p>1: If LC class themselves as a public service then why are they listed on the Dunn and Bradstreet website as a corporate entity and (for profit) private business?</p> <p>2: As LC are a private (for profit) company, please provide me with details of how LC have the legal right to use enforce Acts and Statutes on members of the public.</p> <p>3: Please provide me with details of who owns the private business 'Lancashire Constabulary'</p> <p>4: If you insist that LC are a public service. Please provide me with the evidence to confirm this to be true.</p>		
2018/29	18.04.18	WDTK		<p>I am concerned that in the event of an Emergency Evacuation of Preston New Road Well site, insufficient plans are in place to safeguard the public, and to effectively and swiftly evacuate the area.</p> <p>You are aware that the responsibility towards the public would fall jointly under your remit, along with the Fire Service.</p> <p>Is there a risk assessment or plan is in place for the policing of the traffic, in the event of an emergency evacuation at the Preston New Road Well site?</p> <p>1) Please confirm if such as risk assessment or plan has been carried out and in place.</p> <p>Please answer yes or no to this question, together with the date any plan was agreed.</p> <p>As such an event may include either an explosion of gas, or an unexploded pocket of gas, (both of which could require an exclusion zone of around 3 miles radius); would in turn require the evacuation of:</p>	Response uploaded to WDTK on 20.04.2018 - Info not held	Yes

			<p>i) Workers on the well-site,</p> <p>ii) members of the public,</p> <p>iii) local residents, to include residents on a local caravan park,</p> <p>iv) 2 primary schools and a secondary school, (around 2,000 pupils and their parents),</p> <p>v) horses,</p> <p>vi) K9 kennels.</p> <p>and given parts of the local motorway would need to be closed, and evacuation points provided for the public outside of the exclusion zone;</p> <p>2) Please confirm if an assessment has been undertaken (as is required under Regulation 3 of 'The Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999'), to ensure sufficient officers could be fully deployed over such a radius, with adequate PPE to ensure the safety of the officers (as would be required under Regulation 8 'The Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999'), in order to provide a suitably rapid response time of around 10 minutes to evacuate this area.</p> <p>Please state yes or no.</p> <p>3) Please confirm that existing plans are in place to ensure this could be done safely for the public, and provide a copy of this plan:</p> <p>i) to explain any alarms which would sound,</p> <p>ii) to state the presence of the location of evacuation points,</p> <p>iii) and to state the method by which you intend to circulate this information to the wider public.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	--

2018/28	18.04.18	WDTK	<p>Following the evacuation of the Preston New Road well-site on April 15th 2018, when Cuadrilla's staff temporarily evacuated the site, I would like to draw your attention to my concerns for the wellbeing of Lancashire Police officers.</p> <p>Police officers were seen to be standing around as normal, and no officers made any visible attempts to safeguard either themselves, or the public that were in the vicinity of the well-site.</p> <p>1) Were the police made aware of there being a drill in place?</p> <p>Please confirm yes or no.</p> <p>2) If the answer to question 1 is yes, please advise why the police were not reassuring public in the vicinity that this was just a drill, and confirm what your standard operating procedure is.</p> <p>3) If the answer to question 1 is no, then why did the police fail to arrange an evacuation of the area?</p> <p>For the questions below, I am referring the the following Legislation:</p> <p>'The Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999'</p> <p>Please see http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/1999/3242/contents/made and https://www.pcs.org.uk/resources/health-and-safety/legal-summaries/management-of-health-and-safety-regulations-1999</p> <p>4) Please advise if a risk assessment has been carried out (as required under Regulation 3) to provide 'preventive and protective measures' to safeguard your police officers, in the case of an emergency evacuation on the well-pad.</p> <p>This risk assessment should 'identify any situations that may arise requiring employees to evacuate all or part of the workplace'.</p> <p>It is worth noting that this assessment would be a prerequisite for any</p>	Response uploaded to WDTK on 20.04.2018 - Info not held	Yes
---------	----------	------	--	---	-----

			<p>action taken by your officers in the event of a site evacuation, well pad explosion, or H2S gas leak.</p> <p>5) Has the risk assessment you have carried out made it clear there must be provision of Hydrogen Sulphide gas monitors to be worn by police forces, as these monitors are requirements for staff working INSIDE the well-pad?</p> <p>Please see https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/hydrogen-sulphide-properties-incident-management-and-toxicology</p> <p>Please confirm yes or no.</p> <p>5) Has the risk assessment you have carried out ensured that you have made coordinated emergency preparedness and response measures with the fire service as is required under Regulation 9.</p> <p>Please confirm yes or no.</p> <p>Regulation 10 requires employers to provide their employees with 'comprehensible and relevant information' on:</p> <p>the risks to their health & safety identified in the risk assessment the preventative and protective measures the procedures for serious and imminent danger the people appointed to take charge for such procedures any risks notified to him by other employers occupying the same premises</p> <p>I hope that your risk assessment will have taken full account of the need to protect your officers in the event of a well pad evacuation, gas explosion (which may potentially cover a 3 mile radius), or hydrogen sulphide gas leak, as required in the legislation.</p> <p>I look forward to you confirming that this has been carried out, and communicated with your officers to safeguard their wellbeing whilst at work.</p>		
--	--	--	---	--	--

2018/27	13.04.18	Email		I'd like to request access to the results obtained from the recent PCC precept survey for Lancashire Police, as well as information regarding the number of responses received via all channels, and also a list of outlets where a link to the survey was made available.	Response sent by email on 30.04.2018	Yes
2018/26	06.04.18	Email		<p>FOIA REQUEST 1:</p> <p>Do you hold any record of evidence that the June 23rd 2016 United Kingdom European Union membership referendum was illegal? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p> <p>FOIA REQUEST 2:</p> <p>Do you hold any record of evidence that vote fraud was committed at the June 23rd 2016 United Kingdom European Union membership referendum? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p> <p>FOIA REQUEST 3:</p> <p>Do you hold any record of evidence that the June 8th 2017 United Kingdom general election was illegal? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p> <p>FOIA REQUEST 4:</p> <p>Do you hold any record of evidence that electoral fraud was committed at the June 8th 2017 United Kingdom general election? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p> <p>FOIA REQUEST 5:</p> <p>Do you hold any record of evidence that the November 8th 2016 United States of America congressional and presidential election was illegal? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p> <p>FOIA REQUEST 6:</p>	Response sent by email on 27.04.2018 – Info not held	Yes

			<p>Do you hold any record of evidence that electoral fraud was committed at the November 8th 2016 United States of America congressional and presidential election? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p> <p>FOIA REQUEST 7:</p> <p>Do you hold any record of evidence that the April 23rd 2017-May 7th 2017 French presidential election was illegal? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p> <p>FOIA REQUEST 8:</p> <p>Do you hold any record of evidence that electoral fraud was committed at the April 23rd 2017-May 7th 2017 French presidential election? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p> <p>FOIA REQUEST 9:</p> <p>Do you hold any record of evidence that the October 15th 2017 Austrian legislative election was illegal? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p> <p>FOIA REQUEST 10:</p> <p>Do you hold any record of evidence that electoral fraud was committed at the October 15th 2017 Austrian legislative election? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p> <p>FOIA REQUEST 11:</p> <p>Do you hold any record of evidence that the Irish Fine Gael leadership election, which began on May 29th 2017, was illegal? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p> <p>FOIA REQUEST 12:</p> <p>Do you hold any record of evidence that electoral fraud was committed at</p>		
--	--	--	---	--	--

			<p>the Irish Fine Gael leadership election which began on May 29th 2017? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p> <p>FOIA REQUEST 13:</p> <p>Do you hold any record of evidence that the March 4th 2018 Italian general election was illegal? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p> <p>FOIA REQUEST 14:</p> <p>Do you hold any record of evidence that electoral fraud was committed at the March 4th 2018 Italian general election? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p> <p>FOIA REQUEST 15:</p> <p>Do you hold any record of evidence that the September 24th 2017 German federal election was illegal? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p> <p>FOIA REQUEST 16:</p> <p>Do you hold any record of evidence that electoral fraud was committed at the September 24th 2017 German federal election? If so, then please send to me a copy of that record of evidence.</p>		
2018/25	05.04.18	WDTK	<p>Please provide the register of premises or land owned by, or occupied for the purposes of, the office of Police and Crime Commissioner, and the functions of their force, for the financial year 2017/18.</p> <p>Entries listed should be broken down by local policing directorate (or borough), and indicate whether it is:</p> <p>Police building Other building/land Neighbourhood office Other</p>	Response uploaded to WDTK on 27.04.2018	Yes